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Today’s Topics

• The Smart Grid – the economic market view
  – A collection of technologies and a platform
  – What is all of this technology going to be used to do?
  – What are the components of the Smart Grid?
  – The Smart Grid as a platform, not just technology

• Key Challenges
  – What are the major sticking points to be resolved before we see a Smart Grid-enabled future?

• How customer pricing is the wild card in Smart Grid’s evolution

• How will this affect the technology used in the industry?
Smart Grid’s Goals

• Economic
  – Greater customer choice
  – Lower consumer costs and production costs

• Reliability
  – Self correcting (distributed intelligence)
  – Adaptive to changes
  – Proactive vs. Reactive

• Efficiency
  – Better system operations
  – Lower transmission losses
  – Information Management & Control

• Security
  – Physical security
  – Cyber security (encryption)

• Environmental
  – Lower emissions
  – Greater control over spatial and temporal patterns

• Customer Choice
  – Energy Management & Presentation
  – Energy Management / Service Cost
  – Residential
  – Commercial & Industrial
  – Opt-In Programs
  – Time of Use rates

• Distributed Resources
  – Renewables & DG
  – Energy Storage
  – Distributed Generation

Different utilities have starkly different views on what Smart Grid comprises
Where Does Washington Think We’re Going?

**DOE**

- DOE has set out seven characteristics
  - Consumer participation
  - Accommodate generation and storage
  - Enable new products, services, and markets
  - Provide power quality for a digital economy
  - Optimize asset utilization and operating efficiency
  - Anticipate and responds to system disturbances in a self-healing manner
  - Operate resiliently against physical and cyber attack and natural disasters

**FERC**

- “Underlying that design will be a new freedom of consumer choice in managing electricity consumption.”
- “Smart Grid must facilitate the development of a greatly expanded demand response market.”
  - Jon Wellinghoff – FERC Chairman
- The majority of the benefits of the smart grid [will be] at the retail level, when consumers have dynamic pricing”
  - Philip Moeller – FERC Commissioner

Different agencies are working towards Smart Grid from two different directions
Our Starting Point Today

Basic Structure of the Electric System

**Generation**
- Baseload, Mid-Merit & Peaking
- 1,000,000 MW
- 1 billion kWh
  - Coal - 49%
  - Natural Gas - 20%
  - Nuclear - 19%
  - Hydropower - 7%
  - Renewables - 2%

**Transmission**
- Long Distance
- High Voltage (>230kV)
- Ultra-High Voltage (>500kV)
- 150,000+ Miles
- CapEx: ~$7 Billion

**Distribution**
- Local Use
- Medium Voltage (<69kV)
- Cities (13kV to 4kV)
- Neighborhood (120/240V)
- CapEx: ~$17 Billion

Source: www.nerc.com
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Smart Grid Transmission Enhancements

Expand Capacity
- Better Layout
- FACTS
- Supply Security (Reliability)

Improve Operation
- Wide Area Management Systems
- Communication Infrastructure
- Cyber Security

Renewable Energy
- Long-distance transmission
- Resource rich/poor regions
- Ultra-High Voltage (UHV)
Smart Grid Distribution Enhancements

**Self-Healing Grid**
- Flexibility of T&D Assets
- Carrying Capacity
- Workforce Effectiveness
- Enable Effective Microgrids
- Semi-Autonomous

**Substation Automation**
- Substation Reliability
- Proactive Response
- Response Time
- Grid Agents

**Communication**
- SCADA
- Cyber Security
- 2-way Communication Infrastructure
- Information Management
- Utility Integration / Effectiveness
Smart Grid Demand Response Enhancements

Incentive Based Programs
- Direct load control
- Interruptible/curtailable rates
- Demand bidding/buyback programs
- Emergency demand response programs
- Capacity-market programs
- Ancillary services

Time Based Programs
- Time-of-use
- Critical-peak pricing
- Real-time pricing
- …but high load does not always equal high prices

Market (2008)
- 8% of US Customers
- Potential Peak Reduction 41 GW
  - 5.8% of Peak

Source: 2008 FERC Survey
Note: Other includes ASCC and Hawaii.
Smart Grid Energy Storage & DG Enhancements

**Wholesale Power**
- Commodity Arbitrage  
- Ancillary Services  
  - Load Leveling, System Stabilization  
  - Frequency Regulation, Spinning Reserves

**Transmission & Distribution**
- Asset Deferral  
- Distribution System Stability  
- Stand-by Power  
  - Postpone Transmission Upgrades  
  - Shock Absorber  
  - Substation, NOC, Generating Station

**Renewable Energy**
- Wind  
- Solar Thermal  
- Solar PV  
  - Firm Delivery, Transmission Design  
  - Solar Tower, Trough  
  - Off Grid, Time Shift Delivery

**Distributed Resources**
- Demand Management  
- Power Quality  
- Standby-Power  
- Regenerative Energy  
- PHEV  
- Home Storage  
  - Peak Shaving, Service Cost Reduction  
  - Islanding, Microgrids  
  - Telecom, Datacenter, UPS  
  - Port Cranes, Subways  
  - Vehicle 2 Grid  
  - Distributed Resources, Back-up Power
Smart Grid Dispatch Enhancements

• Integration of demand response into commitment and dispatch cycles
• Advanced system modeling
  – Improved state estimation
• Advanced contingency modeling
  – Incorporation of intermittent (e.g. wind) resources
  – Incorporation of demand response
• Predictive customer behavior modeling
  – What will customers do if we “give them the keys?”
• Emissions constraints
• Integration of greater amounts of potentially incomplete/incorrect data
  – Lower quality standards to end-use points, and a much greater volume
• Integration of locational prices with lower-voltage networks
Key (non-Technology) Smart Grid Challenges

• Dynamic Pricing
  – Will it be implemented at all?
  – Do you *need* dynamic pricing for Smart Grid to be viable?
  – In areas where there’s no organized market, how do you set a price?

• Centralized versus distributed control
  – Who gets to control your air conditioner?

• Who pays for it?
  – How are these investments going to be recovered? Who is best suited to make the investments?

• Who owns the data?
  – The data from your consumption may be the most valuable commodity

• Who gets to be a participant?
  – Should third-party players like Google and EnerNOC get the same status as regulated utilities and LSEs?

• Who is in charge?
  – Federal policy sets general direction, but implementation and low-level implementation left to states
Overlapping Jurisdictions Make Regulation Difficult
Smart Grid Is More Than Just Technology – It’s a Platform With Three Legs
What Are These Three Legs of the Platform?

**Smart Customers**
- Customer focused technology that allow electrical (and energy) consumers to:
  - Observe their real-time behavior,
  - Directly control their electrical consumption
  - Input their decision rules into smart controller applications

**Smart Utility**
- Utilities that provide
  - Sophisticated monitoring, digital controls and locational pricing signals that bridge between the real-time cost of electricity and the real-time price to consumers

**Smart Market**
- The market structure built upon a common platform or platforms that allows
  - Integration of technologies, decision logics and information for both the producer and the consumer
  - Creation of a dynamic and economically efficient long-run solution to operation and control of both the producer and consumer side of the electrical grid

Most effort to date has been on the enabling standards, *not* on what Smart Grid is supposed to *do*
Smart Grid Market and Information Flows

- Smart Market (Platform Provider)
  - Wholesale Market
  - Retail Market
  - Customer (Demand)
  - Utility (Supply)
  - ESPs

- Services
  - Price; Quantity; ...
  - Ancillary Services

- Information Flows:
  - From Utility to Smart Market
  - From Smart Market to Customer
  - From Customer to Utility
  - From Utility to ESPs
Smart Grid’s “Big Picture”

Technology definition

- Establishment of standards
- Development of technology

Platform definition

- Definition of market structures to support Smart Grid
- Definition of roles and rights/responsibilities
- Establishment of operating standards and procedures
- Establishment of policies for integration of existing and advanced technologies

Platform implementation and evolution

- Implementation of market structures
- Implementation of operational procedures
- Incorporation of newer technologies
- Development of new applications on the Smart Grid “platform”

We are here

Smart Grid has started down the standards path without a clear vision of what the intended purpose is (but that’s probably OK)
Who (Should) Set Standards and Create the Platform?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utilities</th>
<th>Competing Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Standards are the first and most important part of the Smart Grid platform – we can’t wait around</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Utilities already have the first-mover advantage – they’re going to set them anyway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Utilities have the money to implement before anyone else, and mitigated risk through rate recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Utilities are very good at financing large, capital-intensive assets through rate recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• They’re not good at innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Big telecom advances were made by companies like Qualcomm and Cisco, not AT&amp;T (but is this a good or bad thing – example of GSM versus CDMA/TDMA/etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Customer Pricing – the biggest impact on Smart Grid Evolution

• Pricing is the key element that will drive how the grid evolves
  – It’s (one of the) the big issue that there’s not agreement on
  – More so than other factors, the decision on whether or not to use end-use pricing will drive the evolution of the grid more than anything else
  – Most other reliability and integration uses are likely to occur anyway

• Customer pricing impacts the evolution in several key areas
  – Regulatory acceptance and rate recovery
    • Regulating customer pricing regimes is more difficult, but more attractive
  – System planning and operation – how do you plan for customer behavior?
    • Will customers be unpredictable in their response?
  – Implementation cost
    • Greater cost and complexity to implement customer pricing – how will that slow implementation?
Remember that Diagram from a few slides back?

- Right now, the industry is oriented towards the Smart Utility
- To enable the full Smart Grid platform, some form of pricing information is required
Future Data Flows and Requirements

Utility IP-based WAN

- SCADA Distribution Substations
- Control Center (SCADA, EMS)
- Utility Data Warehouse
- DG (renewables, storage)
- Automation (e.g. Microgrids)
- Customers (AMR, DR, DSM)

Future technologies will require near-ubiquitous IP connectivity everywhere.
Key Impacts on Technology

• Greater capital requirements
  – Much greater bandwidth requirements
  – But storage capacity scales very rapidly – bandwidth is much more expensive and capital-intensive

• The last mile may not be the problem
  – The volume of data between the control center and substation is much larger than between the substation/center and customers
  – Losing connections with a small group of customers unlikely to raise issues – how reliable is reliable enough?

• Need for a knowledge-management lifecycle for AMI and advanced substation equipment
  – Making sense out of all the new data generated

• Greater integration of operational and market systems
  – Not only within the control center, but between RTOs and LSEs

• Not necessarily easier in a mature market-system
  – Vertical integration and homogeneity have benefits, at least in a confined radius
  – Greater speed and ease of implementation may help vertically integrated regions help control the evolution
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